Equilibrium configurations of nematic liquid crystals on a torus

Antonio Segatti,[1] Michael Snarski,[2] and Marco Veneroni[1]

[1] *Dipartimento di Matematica "F. Casorati", Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy*
[2] *Brown University, Providence, USA*

The topology and the geometry of a surface play a fundamental role in determining the equilibrium configurations of thin films of liquid crystals. We propose here a theoretical analysis of a recently introduced surface Frank energy, in the case of two-dimensional nematic liquid crystals coating a toroidal particle. Our aim is to show how a different modeling of the effect of extrinsic curvature acts as a selection principle among equilibria of the classical energy, and how new configurations emerge. In particular, our analysis predicts the existence of new stable equilibria with complex windings.

arXiv:1401.6038v3 [math-ph] 13 Jun 2014

PACS: 61.30.Dk, 68.35.Md

I. INTRODUCTION

Due to their special optical properties and their controllability through electric and magnetic fields, liquid crystals have proven to be fundamental in many scientific and technological applications. Their properties have been deeply investigated for over a century and nowadays increasing emphasis is being placed on so-called nematic shells. These are microscopic particles coated by a thin film of liquid crystals, which develop defects with a topological charge, and thus have a tendency to self-assemble into metamaterials which may have new optical properties and a high potential for technological applications (see, e.g., [1, 2]). The form of the elastic energy for nematics is well established, both in the framework of director theory, based on the works of Oseen, Zocher, and Frank, and in the framework of the order-tensor theory introduced by de Gennes (see, e.g., [3–5]). In contrast, there is no universal agreement on the *two-dimensional* free energy to model nematic shells. Different ways to take into account the distorsion effect of the substrate were proposed in [6–8] and recently by Napoli and Vergori ([9, 10]). Indeed, as observed in [11] and [12], the liquid crystal ground state (and all its stable configurations, in general) is the result of the competition between two driving principles: on one hand the minimization of the "curvature of the texture" penalized by the elastic energy, and on the other the frustration due to constraints of geometrical and topological nature, imposed by anchoring the nematic to the surface of the underlying particle. The new energy model ([9, 10]) affects these two aspects, focusing on the effects of the extrinsic geometry of the substrate on the elastic energy of the nematics. It is worthwhile noting that the above-mentioned energies are deduced by means of heuristic considerations or ad hoc Ansätze. A rigorous justification, which could indicate which form of energy is preferable, is still missing. For instance, one could envision to model molecular interactions on a discrete lattice and derive a macroscopic surface energy via Γ-convergence, as it is done for the flat case in [13].

With the present paper we aim at exploring the full consequences of the new model so that a detailed comparison with the classical one can be established. More precisely, we study the two-dimensional Napoli-Vergori director theory for nematic shells on a genus one surface: *a)* we analyze the dependence of the new energy on the mechanical parameters (splay, twist and bend moduli) and on the geometrical parameters (the radii of the torus); *b)* we highlight in which cases the new energy acts as a *selection principle* among the minimizers of the classical one, and in which cases *new* different states emerge. Finally, *c)* we predict the existence of stable equilibrium states carrying a higher energy than the ground state, in correspondence with the homotopy classes of the torus. Our analysis, in particular, agrees and makes more precise the statement of [10], according to which the new energy "promotes the alignment of the flux lines of the nematic director towards geodesics and/ or lines of curvature of the surface". The aspect of high energy equilibrium states is present in the classical energy as well, but it was neglected in previous research on genus one surfaces ([8]).

Our observations are based on a rigorous mathematical analysis of the models, which combines methods from differential geometry and topology, calculus of variations, functional analysis and numerical simulations. Topology enters our work, first of all, in the choice of the torus as base substrate. Indeed the nematics would necessarily present defects on any surface with genus different than one, due to Poincaré-Hopf Theorem ([8, 14, 15]); as a consequence, when dealing with the Frank's director theory, the space of functions in which one looks for minimizers would be empty, even in a weak sense (see [16]), requiring thus further expedients or approximations (see, e.g., [11, 17]). The idea in [16] relies on the extension of classical degree theory from continuous functions to the space of Bounded Mean Oscillation functions, which, in particular, includes all the vector fields that have bounded Frank-Oseen energy [18]. The emptiness of the set of minimizers of Frank-Oseen energy follows then from the emptiness of the set of continuous unit tangent vectors on surfaces with genus $\neq 1$. In order to focus on the influence of the geometry, we choose here a surface where defectless ground states can be found. The case of a cylin-

der, the simplest surface where different results between classical and new energy can be predicted, was presented in [10] (on a sphere, the two energies differ by a constant). A related energy on hyperbolic surfaces was studied in [19] and in [20], which described the different effects of the intrinsic and of the extrinsic geometry on defects. Although the experimental generation of toroidal nematics is a challenge, recent techniques ([21]) allow for droplets of genus one or higher, and further motivate investigation of more complex surfaces.

II. ENERGETICS

For vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we denote by $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ the standard euclidean inner product. We write $|\mathbf{u}| = \sqrt{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}}$ to denote the norm of $\mathbf{u}$. For a two-tensor $\mathbb{A} = \{a_i^j\}$ we adopt the norm $|\mathbb{A}|^2 := \mathrm{tr}(\mathbb{A}^T\mathbb{A}) = \sum_{ij}(a_i^j)^2$, which is invariant under change of coordinates.

In the classical director theory of nematics, the local orientation of the liquid crystal molecules in a sample $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is described by the unit vector field $\mathbf{n} : \Omega \to \mathbb{S}^2$, where $\mathbb{S}^2$ is the unit sphere. Stable configurations are minimizers of the classical elastic energy, which according to Frank's formula reads

$$\begin{aligned} W(\mathbf{n}) := \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \big[&K_1(\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{n})^2 + K_2(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathrm{curl}\,\mathbf{n})^2 \\ &+ K_3|\mathbf{n}\times\mathrm{curl}\,\mathbf{n}|^2 \\ &+ (K_2+K_{24})\mathrm{div}\,[(\nabla\mathbf{n})\mathbf{n} - (\mathrm{div}\,\mathbf{n})\mathbf{n}]\big]\mathrm{d}x, \end{aligned}$$

where K_1, K_2, K_3 and K_{24} are positive constants called the splay, twist, bend and saddle-splay moduli, respectively. The last term is a null Lagrangian, hence it depends only on the behavior of $\mathbf{n}$ on the boundary. While the Frank's energy above is, within the director theories, well accepted, there is not such agreement when dealing with surface energies. In the literature, one can find different proposals for such an energy ([6, 8, 11] [10]). The main difference between the classical energy proposed in [6, 8, 11] and the most recent one [10] essentially lies in the choice of the differential operators on the surface S. More precisely, the energy in ([6, 8, 11]) is a functional of the covariant derivative $D\mathbf{n}$ of the vector field $\mathbf{n}$, while the energy in [10] depends on the surface gradient $\nabla_s\mathbf{n}$, which is defined as $\nabla_s\mathbf{n} := \nabla\mathbf{n}P$ (see, e.g., [3]), with P being the orthogonal projection onto the tangent plane of S. Note that

$$D\mathbf{n} = P\nabla\mathbf{n} \neq \nabla\mathbf{n}P = \nabla_s\mathbf{n}, \tag{1}$$

since the matrix product is non-commutative in general. In other words, ∇_s is the restriction of the usual derivative of $\mathbb{R}^3$ to directions lying in the tangent plane and takes thus into account also the extrinsic curvature of S. In order to understand the effect of extrinsic curvature on ∇_s and the precise difference between the terms in (1), it is useful to introduce the shape operator of a surface. Denote by $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ the unit normal vector to S. At every point $p \in S$, $-\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}_p : T_pS \to T_p\mathbb{S}^2$ is a symmetric linear operator named the *shape operator*, the eigenvalues of which are exactly the two principal curvatures of S in p. Given a smooth tangent vector field $\mathbf{u}$ on S, we can decompose the vector $(\nabla_s\mathbf{n})\mathbf{u}$ into its projection on the tangent space and a normal component; by Gauss' formula [22, Theorem 8.2] we can relate the normal component to the shape operator:

$$(\nabla_s\mathbf{n})\mathbf{u} = P(\nabla_s\mathbf{n})\mathbf{u} + [(\nabla_s\mathbf{n})\mathbf{u}]^\perp = D_\mathbf{u}\mathbf{n} - (\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}(\mathbf{n})\cdot\mathbf{u})\boldsymbol{\nu}.$$

The inner product in the last term is also known as the second fundamental form of S and is usually denoted by $II(\mathbf{n},\mathbf{u})$. This decomposition implies the identity

$$|\nabla_s\mathbf{n}|^2 = |D\mathbf{n}|^2 + |\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}(\mathbf{n})|^2, \tag{2}$$

which clarifies the difference between covariant derivative and surface gradient. In order to describe the elastic energy of a thin film, approximated by a surface S, we resort to the Darboux frame $\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{t}, \boldsymbol{\nu}\}$, where $\mathbf{t} := \boldsymbol{\nu}\times\mathbf{n}$. Let $\kappa_\mathbf{t}, \kappa_\mathbf{n}$ be the geodesic curvatures of the flux lines of $\mathbf{t}$ and $\mathbf{n}$, respectively. Let $c_\mathbf{n}$ be the normal curvature and let $\tau_\mathbf{n}$ be the geodesic torsion (see, e.g., [23] for all the definitions and examples related to differential geometry). The surface divergence acting on the field $\mathbf{n}$ is defined as $\mathrm{div}_s\mathbf{n} := \mathrm{tr}D\mathbf{n} = \mathrm{tr}\nabla_s\mathbf{n}$ and can be expressed as $\mathrm{div}_s\mathbf{n} = \kappa_\mathbf{t}$ ([10]). The classical form of surface free energy, for a thin film of nematics of constant thickness h around S, is ([6, 8, 11])

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathrm{Cl}}(\mathbf{n}) :&= \frac{1}{2}\int_S \big[k_1(\mathrm{div}_s\mathbf{n})^2 + k_3(\mathrm{curl}\,\mathbf{n})^2\big]\,\mathrm{d}A, \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_S \big[k_1\kappa_\mathbf{t}^2 + k_3\kappa_\mathbf{n}^2\big]\,\mathrm{d}A, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $k_i = hK_i$ and $\mathrm{curl}\,\mathbf{n}$ is the covariant curl operator (see [22]). In the new energy introduced in [10], the extrinsic curvature of S comes to play a role through $c_\mathbf{n}$ and $\tau_\mathbf{n}$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathrm{NV}}(\mathbf{n}) :&= \frac{1}{2}\int_S \big[k_1(\mathrm{div}_s\mathbf{n})^2 + k_2(\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathrm{curl}_s\mathbf{n})^2 \\ &\quad + k_3|\mathbf{n}\times\mathrm{curl}_s\mathbf{n}|^2\big]\,\mathrm{d}A, \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_S \big[k_1\kappa_\mathbf{t}^2 + k_2\tau_\mathbf{n}^2 + k_3(\kappa_\mathbf{n}^2 + c_\mathbf{n}^2)\big]\,\mathrm{d}A, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $\mathrm{curl}_s\mathbf{n} := -\epsilon\nabla_s\mathbf{n}$ (ϵ is the Ricci alternator). Note that $\mathrm{curl}_s\mathbf{n} = -\tau_\mathbf{n}\mathbf{n} - c_\mathbf{n}\mathbf{t} + \kappa_\mathbf{n}\boldsymbol{\nu}$ and that, unless we restrict to flat surfaces, the vector $\mathrm{curl}_s\mathbf{n}$ has non vanishing in-plane components. Note also that the saddle-splay term is not present in the surface energy W_{NV} (see [10] for a justification). In order to study the minimizers of W_{Cl} and W_{NV}, it is convenient to introduce a parametrization X of S. We use (θ,ϕ) as a set of local coordinates and we assume that $\mathbf{e}_\theta := \partial_\theta X/|\partial_\theta X|$ and $\mathbf{e}_\phi := \partial_\phi X/|\partial_\phi X|$ give a local orthonormal basis for the tangent plane to S. We can then describe $\mathbf{n}$ through the angle α defined

by $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{e}_\theta \cos\alpha + \mathbf{e}_\phi \sin\alpha$. With respect to α, the surface energy (4) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathrm{NV}}(\alpha) = & \frac{1}{2}\int_S \big[k_1((\nabla_s\alpha - \mathbf{\Omega})\cdot\mathbf{t})^2 \\ & + k_3((\nabla_s\alpha - \mathbf{\Omega})\cdot\mathbf{n})^2 \\ & + k_2(c_1-c_2)^2\sin^2\alpha\,\cos^2\alpha \\ & + k_3(c_1\cos^2\alpha + c_2\sin^2\alpha)^2\,\big]\,\mathrm{d}A, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are the principal curvatures of S and $\mathbf{\Omega}$ is the spin connection. The latter is the vector field defined as $\mathbf{\Omega} = -\kappa_\theta \mathbf{e}_\theta - \kappa_\phi \mathbf{e}_\phi$, where κ_θ and κ_ϕ are the geodesic curvatures of the flux lines of $\mathbf{e}_\theta$ and $\mathbf{e}_\phi$, respectively (see [24] and the Appendix A in this paper). The first two terms coincide with W_{Cl}.

III. THE AXISYMMETRIC TORUS

In this Section we analyse the energy (5) in the particular case of an axisymmetric torus $\mathbb{T}$, with radii $R > r > 0$ parametrized by

$$X(\theta,\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} (R + r\cos\theta)\cos\phi \\ (R + r\cos\theta)\sin\phi \\ r\sin\theta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

where $(\theta,\phi) \in Q := [0,2\pi]\times[0,2\pi]$ (see Figure 1). In



FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the torus $\mathbb{T}$.

order to study the dependence of the energy (4) on the mechanical and geometrical parameters, we first restrict to the case of *constant angle* α. In this case, the integral in (4) can be computed explicitly as a function of five real parameters (see Appendix B):

$$W_{\mathrm{NV}} = W_{\mathrm{NV}}(\alpha, k_1, k_2, k_3, \mu), \tag{7}$$

where $\mu := R/r$. Since W_{NV} is π-periodic, we restrict to $\alpha \in]-\pi/2, \pi/2]$. This choice is related to the invariance of the energy W_{NV} with respect to the change of $\mathbf{n}$ into its opposite $-\mathbf{n}$. Studying the equilibrium equation associated with W_{NV}, one finds the equilibrium configurations (see Figure 2)

$$\alpha_m = 0, \quad \alpha_p = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \alpha_h = \pm\frac{1}{2}\arccos\left(\frac{Bk_3 + Ck_1}{\mu^2(k_2-k_3)}\right),$$



FIG. 2. Schematic representation of the constant equilibrium configurations α_m, α_p and α_h, where the director field $\mathbf{n}$ is aligned along meridians, parallels and helixes of $\mathbb{T}$, respectively.

where $B = \mu\sqrt{\mu^2-1} - 1$ and $C = B - \mu^2 + 2$ (provided that the argument of the arccos function is in the interval $[-1,1]$).

Unlike the case of a cylinder [10] in which the radius has no influence on minimizers, in the case of a torus the minimality depends also on the ratio $\mu = R/r$. In this regard, see Figure 3, where $W_{\mathrm{NV}}(\alpha)$ is plotted for fixed k_j and different choices of μ, and Figure 4, which shows $W_{\mathrm{NV}}(\alpha)$ for fixed ratio μ and different choices of k_j.



FIG. 3. Surface energy W_{NV} (rescaled by $k\pi^2$) as a function of the deviation α from $\mathbf{e}_\theta$, for the one-constant approximation $k := k_1 = k_2 = k_3$. The ratio of the radii of the torus $\mu = R/r$ is : $\mu = 1.1$ (dotted line), $\mu = 2/\sqrt{3}$ (dashed dotted line), $\mu = 1.25$ (dashed line), $\mu = 1.6$ (continuous line). The behavior for equal k_j's depends on the fact that the only non-constant term in the energy W_{NV} changes sign when $2\mu = \mu^2/\sqrt{\mu^2-1}$, i.e. when $\mu = 2/\sqrt{3}$.

In Figures 3 and 4 we make a specific choice of the parameters k_i and μ so as to present the features of the energy. The advantage of the explicit formula for W_{NV} given in Appendix B is that we may assess the minimality and stability of a configuration for any given choice



FIG. 4. Surface energy W_{NV} (rescaled by $k_1\pi^2$) as a function of the deviation α from $\mathbf{e}_\theta$, for $\mu = 1.25$, $k_1 = k_3 = 1$ and different values of k_2. Studying the second derivative of W_{NV}, one finds critical values $\xi_1 = 2\sqrt{\mu^2-1}/\mu$ and $\xi_2 = 2 - \xi_1$, which characterize the cases: α_m is local minimum and α_p is global minimum ($k_2 > \xi_1$, continuous line); α_m is global maximum and α_p is global minimum ($\xi_1 \geq k_2 \geq \xi_2$, between dashed and dashed-dotted lines); $\pm\alpha_h$ are global minima, α_p is local maximum, α_m is global maximum ($k_2 < \xi_2$, dotted line).

of parameters simply by computing the first and second derivatives of W_{NV} with respect to α.

We turn now our attention to *general* functions α (i.e., not necessarily constants), in the case of the well-studied one-constant approximation of W_{NV}, where $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 =: k$. Let $\nabla_s\mathbf{n}$ be the surface derivative of $\mathbf{n}$ and let $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ be the unit normal vector to the torus $\mathbb{T}$. Recalling that (see [10])

$$(\mathrm{div}_s\mathbf{n})^2 + (\mathbf{n}\cdot\mathrm{curl}_s\mathbf{n})^2 + |\mathbf{n}\times\mathrm{curl}_s\mathbf{n}|^2 = |\nabla_s\mathbf{n}|^2,$$

we can easily obtain the one-constant approximation of W_{NV}

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathrm{NV}}(\mathbf{n}) &= \frac{k}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\nabla_s\mathbf{n}|^2 \mathrm{d}A \\ &\overset{(2)}{=} \frac{k}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left[|D\mathbf{n}|^2 + |\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}(\mathbf{n})|^2\right] \mathrm{d}A. \end{aligned}$$

It is then clear that by introducing the surface gradient ∇_s (with respect to the tangent derivation), one is adding a contribution which depends on the extrinsic curvatures. More precisely, $|\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}(\mathbf{n})|$ is minimized (maximized) when $\mathbf{n}$ is oriented along the direction of minimal (maximal) curvature (in absolute value). We can check this directly in the case of a torus by expressing the energy in terms of the angle α with the local coordinates,

$$W_{\mathrm{NV}}(\alpha) = f(\mu) + \frac{k}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left[|\nabla_s\alpha|^2 + \frac{c_1^2 - c_2^2}{2}\cos(2\alpha)\right] \mathrm{d}A, \tag{8}$$

where $f(\mu) = \kappa\pi^2(2\mu + (2-\mu^2)/\sqrt{\mu^2-1})$ can be computed using the orthogonality of $\nabla_s\alpha$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$.

The energy W_{NV} in the one-constant approximation consists in a Dirichlet energy density $|\nabla_s\alpha|^2$ plus a double-(mod 2π)-well potential $\cos(2\alpha)$ multiplied by a curvature-dependent factor $(c_1^2 - c_2^2)/2$. This form of the energy is well-studied in the context of Cahn-Hilliard phase transitions (see [25]), where pure phases correspond to minimizers of the double-well and transitions are penalized by the Dirichlet term. In our case the liquid crystal phase is clearly fixed, but we can apply the same structure to a "direction transition". Depending on the torus aspect ratio, the sign of $c_1^2 - c_2^2$ may not be constant on Q, thus forcing a smooth transition between the directions $\alpha \equiv \alpha_m$, where $c_1^2 < c_2^2$, and $\alpha \equiv \alpha_p$, where $c_1^2 > c_2^2$ (see Figure 5).

The Euler-Lagrange equation corresponding to (8) is

$$k\Delta_s\alpha + \frac{k}{2}(c_1^2 - c_2^2)\sin(2\alpha) = 0, \tag{9}$$

where $\Delta_s = \mathrm{div}_s\nabla_s$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the torus $\mathbb{T}$. Equation (9) is a novel kind of elliptic sine-Gordon equation, the only explicit solutions to which, to our knowledge, are the constants $\alpha_m = 0$ and $\alpha_p = \pi/2$. We resort thus to studying the gradient flow of W_{NV}, i.e., the solutions $\alpha = \alpha(x,t)$ defined on $\mathbb{T}\times[0,+\infty)$, to the evolution equation

$$\partial_t\alpha = k\Delta_s\alpha + \frac{k}{2}(c_1^2 - c_2^2)\sin(2\alpha), \tag{10}$$

equipped with an initial datum $\alpha(x,0) = \alpha_0(x)$ on $\mathbb{T}$. We remark that this evolution problem is not a physical flow of the nematics, but it constitutes an efficient mathematical artifice to approximate solutions of the stationary equation (9). Indeed, owing to the ellipticity of the Laplace-Beltrami operator and to the regularity of the nonlinear term, for any regular initial datum α_0 there exists a unique solution $\alpha(t)$ to (10). Moreover, by construction, at any time $t > 0$ this solution satisfies the energy balance

$$\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\partial_t\alpha(s)|^2 \mathrm{d}A\,\mathrm{d}s + W_{\mathrm{NV}}(\alpha(t)) = W_{\mathrm{NV}}(\alpha_0). \tag{11}$$

The energy equality above follows from the fact that (10) is indeed the gradient flow of the energy (8). For a (sufficiently smooth) solution α of (10), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}W_{\mathrm{NV}}(\alpha(t)) &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\frac{k}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \Big[|\nabla_s\alpha(t)|^2 \\ &\qquad + \frac{c_1^2 - c_2^2}{2}\cos(2\alpha(t))\Big] \mathrm{d}A \\ &= k\int_{\mathbb{T}} \Big[\nabla_s\alpha(t)\cdot\nabla_s\partial_t\alpha(t) \\ &\qquad - \frac{c_1^2 - c_2^2}{2}\sin(2\alpha(t))\partial_t\alpha(t)\Big] \mathrm{d}A. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}W_{\mathrm{NV}}(\alpha(t)) = -k\int_{\mathbb{T}}\Big[\Delta_s\alpha(t) + \frac{c_1^2-c_2^2}{2}\sin(2\alpha(t))\Big]\partial_t\alpha(t)\mathrm{d}A.$$

Consequently, recalling that α is a solution of (10), we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}W_{\mathrm{NV}}(\alpha(t)) = -\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\partial_t\alpha(t)|^2\mathrm{d}A, \qquad \forall t>0, \qquad (12)$$

which expresses that the energy W_{NV} decreases along solutions. Integrating over $(0,t)$ for $t>0$ we obtain the energy balance (11). Finally, as $t\to+\infty$, $\alpha(t)$ converges (possibly up to a subsequence) to a function α_∞ which solves (9). If α_0 is a critical point, i.e. $\alpha_0=\alpha_m$ or $\alpha_0=\alpha_p$, then the evolution is clearly constant: $\alpha(t)\equiv\alpha_m$, or $\alpha(t)\equiv\alpha_p$, respectively. For different initial data, we find as a limit solution either α_p, or a nonconstant α_∞ belonging to the family illustrated in Figure 5. The only distinguishing factor between these two behaviors is the ratio of radii $\mu=R/r$. From the explicit form of $W_{\mathrm{NV}}(\alpha)$, we know that if $\mu\geq 2$, then $c_1^2-c_2^2\geq 0$ and thus $\alpha_p\equiv\pi/2$ is the unique (up to rotations of $m\pi, m\in\mathbb{Z}$) global minimizer of W_{NV}. On the other hand, from the previous discussion on constant α, we know that if $\mu<2/\sqrt{3}$, then α_p cannot be the global minimizer, as for this ratio $W_{\mathrm{NV}}(\alpha_m)<W_{\mathrm{NV}}(\alpha_p)$. We conjecture that there exists a unique critical ratio $\mu^*\in(2/\sqrt{3},2)$ above which α_p is the point of minimum, and below which the nonconstant solution appears. Numerically, we found $\mu^*\approx 1.52$.



a) $\mu=1.4$



b) $\mu=1.2$

FIG. 5. (Color online) Plots of the vector field $\mathbf{n}_\infty$ corresponding to the limit solution α_∞ to (10) for $\alpha_0=\pi/4$ and different ratios μ. (The color code represents α_∞.) For $\mu<2$, the configuration α_m is preferable in a strip close to the central hole, while for every choice of μ, α_p is more convenient around the external equator. As the term $|\nabla_s\alpha|^2$ in the energy penalizes the transition from α_p to α_m, minimizers exhibit a smooth rotation of the director field along the meridians. The amplitude of the rotation increases as μ decreases to 1.

It is interesting to compare these configurations with the equilibrium ones of the classical Frank energy [8]. In the one-constant approximation, the energy on a torus is

$$\begin{aligned}W_{\mathrm{Cl}}(\alpha) &= \frac{k}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\nabla_s\alpha-\mathbf{\Omega}|^2\,\mathrm{d}A\\ &= \frac{k}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left[|\nabla_s\alpha|^2-2\nabla_s\alpha\cdot\mathbf{\Omega}+|\mathbf{\Omega}|^2\right]\mathrm{d}A\\ &= \frac{k}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}}|\nabla_s\alpha|^2\,\mathrm{d}A+2k\pi^2(\mu-\sqrt{\mu^2-1})\end{aligned}$$

and the corresponding equilibrium equation is $\Delta_s\alpha=0$. (Note that in [8] $\mu=r/R$.) Therefore, in the classical case, every field $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{e}_\theta\cos\bar\alpha+\mathbf{e}_\phi\sin\bar\alpha$, for constant $\bar\alpha$, is an equilibrium state, with the same energy independently of $\bar\alpha$. For $\mu>\mu^*$, the new energy W_{NV} selects α_p, among all constants, as unique equilibrium configuration. For $\mu<\mu^*$, instead, the new lower-energy configuration shown in Figure 5 appears. The fact that the solution $\alpha=\alpha_p$ is no longer stable for sufficiently small μ is due to the high bending energy associated to $\alpha=\alpha_p$ in the internal hole of the torus. In a small strip close to the internal equator of the torus, we can approximate (see Appendix B)

$$c_1^2-c_2^2\approx\frac{1}{r^2}-\frac{1}{(R-r)^2}, \quad \mathrm{d}A\approx r(R-r)\mathrm{d}\theta\,\mathrm{d}\phi,$$

and therefore

$$(c_1^2-c_2^2)\cos(2\alpha_p)\mathrm{d}A\approx\mu\frac{2-\mu}{\mu-1}\mathrm{d}\theta\,\mathrm{d}\phi,$$

which tends to $+\infty$ as $\mu\to 1$.

This new solution attempts to minimize the effect of the curvature by orienting the director field along the meridian lines $\alpha=\alpha_m$ which are geodesics on the torus, near the hole of the torus, while near the external equator the director is oriented along the parallel lines $\alpha=\alpha_p$, which are lines of curvature. The particular form of the energy W_{NV} in (8) favors a smooth transition between $\alpha=\alpha_p$ and $\alpha=\alpha_m$. This "phase" transition is due to the interplay between the Dirichlet term and the double well potential in the one-constant approximation (8). The double-well potential is exactly the contribution of the extrinsic terms in the energy.

A. Equilibria with windings

In order to describe more complex equilibrium states, we need to introduce the winding number of the director field $\mathbf{n}$ on the torus. Let $\mathbf{n}$ be given and, referring to (6), let $\alpha : Q \to \mathbb{R}$ be such that

$$\mathbf{n}(X) = \mathbf{e}_\theta \cos\alpha + \mathbf{e}_\phi \sin\alpha \quad \text{on } Q.$$

Though α needs not be Q-periodic, there certainly exist integers $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\alpha(2\pi, 0) = \alpha(0,0) + m\pi, \qquad \alpha(0, 2\pi) = \alpha(0,0) + n\pi.$$

We define the *winding number* of $\pm\mathbf{n}$ as the couple of indices $\mathbf{h} = (h_\theta, h_\phi) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, given by

$$h_\theta := \frac{\alpha(2\pi,0) - \alpha(0,0)}{\pi}, \qquad h_\phi := \frac{\alpha(0,2\pi) - \alpha(0,0)}{\pi}.$$

Note that, by allowing for a difference of an odd multiple of π, we are taking into account the symmetry $\mathbf{n} = -\mathbf{n}$ of the nematic represented by the vector field $\mathbf{n}$. Geometrically, h_θ indicates how many turns of 180° are completed by $\mathbf{n}$ along the meridian line parametrized by $\theta \mapsto X(\theta, 0)$; similarly, the number of turns along the parallel line $\phi \mapsto X(0, \phi)$ is given by h_ϕ. A crucial property of the winding number is its invariance under continuous transformations of α (so that $\mathbf{h}$ could be equivalently computed on any pair of curves which are homotopically equivalent to the two that we chose). The relevant consequence is the following: for any choice of $\mathbf{h} = (h_\theta, h_\phi) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, there is an initial datum α_0 (e.g., $\alpha_0(\theta, \phi) = h_\theta\theta + h_\phi\phi$) such that the corresponding vector field $\pm\mathbf{n}$ has winding number $\mathbf{h}$; the evolution of α_0 according to (10) provides then, in the limit as $t \to +\infty$, a function α_∞ whose associated line field $\mathbf{n}_\infty$ is a local minimizer of W_{NV} and has winding number $\mathbf{h}$. Consequently, for each choice of winding number there is at least one configuration which is stable in the sense that it is not possible to lower its energy without breaking the pattern on a line, i.e., without creating a line defect. On the other hand, large winding numbers impose large gradients on the director field, resulting in an increase in the intrinsic energy, monotone with respect to $|\mathbf{h}|$. In analogy with traditional elastic continua, it is reasonable to expect that a liquid crystal would break or yield after reaching a critical maximum distortion energy. We therefore expect a material-dependent upper bound on the observable winding number of the nematics field, which we hope could be determined experimentally.

B. Defects on a torus

Although defect-free ground states can be found on the torus because of its zero Euler characteristic, these are not the only minima which can be found. Indeed, computational studies [26] using an XY-model on tori of different radii ratios have found equilibrium configurations with defects of positive and negative charges with net zero charge. The reason we do not observe defects is not due to the choice parameters, but rather is inherent to the form of the energy W_{NV}. We claim that configurations with defects cannot be local minimizers of W_{NV} *unless the model is substantially modified*, because the energy associated to any defect is $+\infty$.

We remark that our results are not in contradiction with those of [26]. In fact, computing the energy of a defect on a discrete grid as in [26] is equivalent to removing a disc centred at the defect with diameter equal to the distance between the grid nodes. We would expect that by refining the grid, the energy of the discrete configuration would tend to the energy of the continuous configuration. In particular, it would tend to $+\infty$ close to a defect. What prevents the formation of defects in our case is not a choice of the parameters k_i or μ, but rather the choice of the initial datum in the evolution law (6). In [26] the initial configuration presents a random number of defects, which eventually pairwise annihilate, leaving survivors in multiples of 4 (or no defects at all). Instead, we choose defectless initial data, possibly with nontrivial winding number, which smoothly evolves into minimizers with the same winding.

The observations regarding defects are well-studied in the literature, and in particular they were anticipated by Lubensky and Prost in [8] who introduced a "short distance cutoff r". By removing a small disc of radius r, they obtain an energy $\sim \log(1/r)$ corresponding to a "condensation energy" in the small disc, or alternatively to the "line tension" of the boundary created by removing the disc.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We analyzed nematic liquid crystals on a toroidal particle, finding new equilibrium configurations for the surface energy recently proposed by Napoli and Vergori in [9, 10] and comparing them to the equilibria of classical energies. We identified a range of parameters where the new energy selects a unique equilibrium among the classical ones, and we showed the emergence of new equilibria configurations, in accordance with the added penalization of the normal curvature and geodesic torsion of the director field.

We hope that experiments could be carried out in order to confirm our analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to F. Bonsante, G. Napoli, J.-C. Nave for inspiring conversations, remarks and suggestions. We also acknowledge E. G. Virga for his constructive criticisms and suggestions on the manuscript.



$\mathbf{h} = (1,0), \quad W = 10.73$ $\mathbf{h} = (0,1), \quad W = 10.93$ $\mathbf{h} = (0,3), \quad W = 14.01$

$\mathbf{h} = (1,1), \quad W = 11.75$ $\mathbf{h} = (1,4), \quad W = 17.15$ $\mathbf{h} = (4,1), \quad W = 23.02$

FIG. 6. (Color online) Examples of (numerical) local minimizers with different winding numbers, on the torus with $\mu = 1.8$. The unoriented segments represent the direction $\pm\mathbf{n}$. The winding number can be easily visualized by fixing a closed meridian or parallel line and counting how many times a complete (and oriented) scale of colors appears on the chosen line. The value W under each figure indicates the value of the energy (rescaled by k) for that configuration. The energy value of the ground state α_p, for this choice of parameters, is $W = 9.85$.

This research started during a visit of A.S. to the Department of Mathematics and Statistics of McGill University, whose kind hospitality is acknowledged. M.S. was introduced to the subject while supported by an NSERC USRA and is currently supported by an NSERC PGSM and FQRNT doctoral scholarships. Finally, A.S. and M.V. have been supported by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM).

Appendix A: Geometric quantities on the torus

Let $Q := [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, and let $X : Q \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be the following parametrization of an embedded torus $\mathbb{T}$

$$X(\theta, \phi) = \begin{pmatrix} (R + r\cos\theta)\cos\phi \\ (R + r\cos\theta)\sin\phi \\ r\sin\theta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A1}$$

Using parametrization (A1), in the next paragraph we derive the main geometrical quantities, like tangent and normal vectors, first and second fundamental form, in order to obtain an explicit expression for the metric and the curvatures of $\mathbb{T}$ and for $\nabla_s \mathbf{n}$.

Let $X_\theta := \partial_\theta X$, $X_\phi := \partial_\phi X$, $\boldsymbol{\nu} := \frac{X_\theta \wedge X_\phi}{|X_\theta \wedge X_\phi|}$, we have

$$X_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} -r\sin\theta\cos\phi \\ -r\sin\theta\sin\phi \\ r\cos\theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_\phi = \begin{pmatrix} -(R + r\cos\theta)\sin\phi \\ (R + r\cos\theta)\cos\phi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$X_{\theta\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} -r\cos\theta\cos\phi \\ -r\cos\theta\sin\phi \\ -r\sin\theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{\theta\phi} = \begin{pmatrix} r\sin\theta\sin\phi \\ -r\sin\theta\cos\phi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$X_{\phi\phi} = \begin{pmatrix} -(R + r\cos\theta)\cos\phi \\ -(R + r\cos\theta)\sin\phi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\nu} = -\begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta\cos\phi \\ \cos\theta\sin\phi \\ \sin\theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that this choice of tangent vectors yields an *inner* unit normal $\boldsymbol{\nu}$. The first and second fundamental forms are

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} r^2 & 0 \\ 0 & (R + r\cos\theta)^2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad II = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{r} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\cos\theta}{R + r\cos\theta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $\sqrt{g} = r(R + r\cos\theta)$, $g^{ii} := (g_{ii})^{-1}$. The principal curvatures are

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{r}, \qquad c_2 = \frac{\cos\theta}{R + r\cos\theta}.$$

The unit tangent vectors are

$$\mathbf{e}_\theta := \frac{X_\theta}{|X_\theta|} = -\begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta\cos\phi \\ \sin\theta\sin\phi \\ \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_\phi := \frac{X_\phi}{|X_\phi|} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin\phi \\ \cos\phi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The geodesic curvatures κ_θ and κ_ϕ of the principal lines of curvature can thus be obtained by

$$\kappa_\theta = \mathbf{e}_\phi(\nabla \mathbf{e}_\theta)\mathbf{e}_\theta = \frac{1}{R + r\cos\phi} X_\phi \cdot \frac{1}{r^2} X_{\theta\theta} = 0,$$

$$\kappa_\phi = \mathbf{e}_\phi(\nabla \mathbf{e}_\theta)\mathbf{e}_\phi = \frac{X_\phi \cdot X_{\theta\phi}}{r(R + r\cos\theta)^2} = \frac{-\sin\theta}{R + r\cos\theta}.$$

The spin connection $\mathbf{\Omega}$ is the vector field with components given by

$$\mathbf{\Omega}^1 = (\mathbf{e}_\theta, D_{\mathbf{e}_\theta}\mathbf{e}_\phi)_{\mathbb{R}^3} = -\kappa_\theta = 0,$$
$$\mathbf{\Omega}^2 = (\mathbf{e}_\theta, D_{\mathbf{e}_\phi}\mathbf{e}_\phi)_{\mathbb{R}^3} = -\kappa_\phi = \frac{\sin\theta}{R + r\cos\theta}.$$

The explicit forms of the surface differential operators on the torus are

$$\nabla_s\alpha = g^{ii}\partial_i\alpha = \frac{\partial_\theta\alpha}{r}\mathbf{e}_\theta + \frac{\partial_\phi\alpha}{R + r\cos\theta}\mathbf{e}_\phi,$$

$$\Delta_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\partial_i(\sqrt{g}g^{ij}\partial_j)$$
$$= \frac{1}{r^2}\partial^2_{\theta\theta} - \frac{\sin\theta}{r(R + r\cos\theta)}\partial_\theta + \frac{1}{(R + r\cos\theta)^2}\partial^2_{\phi\phi}.$$

For $\mathbf{n} = \cos\alpha\mathbf{e}_\theta + \sin\alpha\mathbf{e}_\phi$, the explicit expression of the surface gradient $\nabla_s\mathbf{n}$ in terms of the deviation angle α, with respect to the Darboux frame $(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{t}, \boldsymbol{\nu})$ is

$$\nabla_s\mathbf{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\alpha_\theta}{r}\cos\alpha + \left(\frac{\alpha_\phi}{R+r\cos\theta} - \frac{\sin\theta}{R+r\cos\theta}\right)\sin\alpha & -\frac{\alpha_\theta}{r}\sin\alpha + \left(\frac{\alpha_\phi}{R+r\cos\theta} - \frac{\sin\theta}{R+r\cos\theta}\right)\cos\alpha & 0 \\ \frac{1}{r}\cos^2\alpha + \frac{\cos\theta}{R+r\cos\theta}\sin^2\alpha & \left(\frac{\cos\theta}{R+r\cos\theta} - \frac{1}{r}\right)\sin\alpha\,\cos\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Appendix B: Derivation of (7)

The proof relies on algebraic manipulations and integration of trigonometric functions. Let $\mu := R/r$, substituting the expressions for $c_1, c_2, \kappa_\phi, \sqrt{g}$ derived in Appendix A, we have

$$I_1 := \int_Q (\kappa_\phi)^2 \mathrm{d}A = \int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\mu + \cos\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}\phi$$
$$= 4\pi^2\left(\mu - \sqrt{\mu^2 - 1}\right),$$
$$I_2 := \int_Q (c_1)^2 \mathrm{d}A = \int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \{\mu + \cos\theta\}\,\mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}\phi = 4\pi^2\mu,$$
$$I_3 := \int_Q (c_2)^2 \mathrm{d}A = \int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\cos^2\theta}{\mu + \cos\theta}\mathrm{d}\theta\mathrm{d}\phi$$
$$= 4\pi^2\mu\left(\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - 1}} - 1\right),$$
$$\int_Q c_1 c_2\,\mathrm{d}A = 0.$$

Ordering the terms according to the frequency in α, we get

$$W(\alpha) = \left[\frac{k_1 + k_3}{4}I_1 + \frac{k_2 + k_3}{8}(I_2 + I_3)\right]$$
$$+ \cos(2\alpha)\left[\frac{k_1 - k_3}{4}I_1 + \frac{k_3}{4}(I_2 - I_3)\right]$$
$$+ \cos^2(2\alpha)\left[\frac{k_3 - k_2}{8}(I_2 + I_3)\right],$$

and substituting the values of I_i yields (7). We also note that the recurring value of $(I_2 + I_3)/4$ corresponds to Willmore's functional on a torus (see [27]), i.e.

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathbb{T}) = \int_Q \left(\frac{c_1 + c_2}{2}\right)^2 \mathrm{d}A = \frac{\pi^2\mu^2}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - 1}}.$$

Willmore's functional can be interpreted as an elastic bending energy for unconstrained membranes that have a flat configuration at rest.

[1] D. R. Nelson, Nano Lett. **2**, 1125 (2002).
[2] G.-R. Yi, D. J. Pine, and S. Sacanna, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **25**, 193101 (2013).
[3] E. G. Virga, *Variational theories for liquid crystals*, Applied Mathematics and Mathematical Computation, Vol. 8 (Chapman & Hall, London, 1994).
[4] P. G. De Gennes and J. Prost, *The Physics of Liquid Crystals*, International Series of Monographs on Physics, Vol. 83 (Oxford Science Publications, Oxford, 1995).
[5] B. Seguin and E. Fried, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **207**, 1 (2013).
[6] J. Straley, Phys. Rev. A **4**, 675 (1971).
[7] W. Helfrich and J. Prost, Phys. Rev. A **38**, 3065 (1988).
[8] T. C. Lubensky and J. Prost, J. Phys. II France **2**, 371 (1992).
[9] G. Napoli and L. Vergori, Phys. Rev. E **85**, 061701 (2012).
[10] G. Napoli and L. Vergori, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 207803 (2012).
[11] V. Vitelli and D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. E **74**, 021711 (2006).
[12] M. J. Bowick and L. Giomi, Adv. Phys. **58**, 449 (2009).
[13] A. Braides, M. Cicalese, and F. Solombrino, arXiv:1310.4084 (2013), submitted.

[14] D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. B **28**, 5515 (1983).
[15] F. C. MacKintosh and T. C. Lubensky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 1169 (1991).
[16] G. Canevari, A. Segatti, and M. Veneroni, in preparation.
[17] R. Rosso, E. G. Virga, and S. Kralj, Soft Matter **7**, 670 (2011).
[18] H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **1**, 197 (1995).
[19] L. Giomi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 136101 (2012).
[20] B. L. Mbanga, G. M. Grason, and C. D. Santangelo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 017801 (2012).
[21] E. Pairam, J. Vallamkondu, V. Koning, B. C. van Zuiden, P. W. Ellis, M. A. Bates, V. Vitelli, and A. Fernandez-Nieves, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **110**, 9295 (2013).
[22] J. M. Lee, *Riemannian manifolds: An introduction to curvature*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 176 (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997).
[23] M. P. do Carmo, *Differential geometry of curves and surfaces* (Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1976).
[24] D. R. Nelson and L. Peliti, J. Phys. (France) **48**, 1085 (1987).
[25] J. W. Cahn and J. E. Hilliard, J. Chem. Phys **28**, 258 (1958).
[26] R. L. Selinger, A. Konya, A. Travesset, and J. V. Selinger, J.Phys.Chem B **48**, 12989 (2011).
[27] W. Helfrich, Z. Naturforsch. Teil C **28**, 693 (1973).